Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/523,348	KOYANAGI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ADAM COONEY	2444
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) ADAM COONEY.	(3)	
(2) <u>Brenda Holmes, Reg. No. 40339</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 February 2010	Time: <u>11:45am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/a		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner called applicant's attorney, Brenda Holmes, on 2/23/2010 and 2/24/2010, regarding restriction to the case, however applicant's attorney was not available. Therefore, the examiner left a voicemail.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/A. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2444		
	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)